

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/766,563	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOISJOLIE ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b> THANH-HA DANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2163

**All Participants:**

(1) THANH-HA DANG.

(2) David A. Lovell (RN 57,619).

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2010

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed:  
 29, 50 and 51.

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thanh-Ha Dang/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2163

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
A telephone call was made to the Applicant's Representative about the potential amendment to the claims in order to allow the case and the Applicant's Representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's Amendment.